

Journal of Universal Language 16-2
September 2015, 1-25

Causativity and Transitivity in Igbo

Maduabuchi Sennen Agbo
University of Benin, Nigeria

Abstract

The universal grammatical concept of causativity has received little attention from Igbo grammar scholars. On the contrary, the equally universal concept of transitivity is well studied but with attendant controversial issues. Nevertheless, the notions of causativity and transitivity are represented by the morpho-semantic properties of the Igbo verb. This study investigates these morpho-syntactic properties in order to identify the contrasts between causativity and transitivity. The investigation involves an analysis of the predicate and event structures of the Igbo verb. This leads to the conclusion that the contrast between transitivity and causativity in Igbo lies in the event structure of the verb. Verbs with transitivity features represent single events in their conceptualisation while causative verbs represent two sequential events in their conceptualisation.

Keywords: universal grammar, event structure, Igbo predicate structure, causativity, transitivity

Maduabuchi Sennen Agbo
Department of Linguistics and African Languages, University of Benin, PMB 1154,
Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria
Phone: +234-8056021759; Email: maduagbo@gmail.com

Received August 11, 2015; Revised September 3, 2015; Accepted September 24, 2015

1. Introduction

Causativity and transitivity are universal concepts in grammar. The analyses of these two concepts usually involve a complete description of the features of the basic clause of a language. This assertion regarding causativity is cited in Comrie (1985: 165). Halliday (1968) and Hopper & Thompson (1980) make similar assumptions for transitivity, where they claim that transitivity is a feature of the entire clause. Lakoff & Johnson (1980: 69) describe causativity as the way human beings organise ‘their cultural and physical realities.’ This notion is further elaborated at the clause level by Langacker (1987: 54-55), where he asserts that a prototypical causative clause involves an agent and a patient. This agent does something that tangibly effects a change in the patient. This influences the conceptualisation of causativity by Fleck (2002) who states the conditions that are necessary for a causative situation to occur. Agbo (2014: 4) enumerates these conditions. The first condition is that the speaker realises the sequential occurrence of the events, and believes that the occurrence of one event, T_2 (the time of the caused event), has been realised at T_1 (the time of the causing event) and that T_2 occurs after T_1 . The second condition is that of the dependency relations between the two events. This means that the occurrence of the caused event, T_2 , is absolutely dependent on the causing event, T_1 , and that the speaker is able to deduce from the sequence of events that in an ideal situation, T_2 cannot take place without T_1 taking place.

Halliday (1968: 179) conceptualises transitivity as ‘the experiential component of meaning’ which involves all the features of the clause including the verb, arguments and other extra-linguistic features. This definition includes the fact that a clause consists of two

participants (an agent and a patient), where action depicted by the verb is effectively transferred from the agent to the patient. These definitions of causativity and transitivity would be adopted in the analyses in this paper.

This paper is motivated by the inter-face in the morpho-semantic features of causativity and transitivity in Igbo¹. These features when discreetly analysed make known the finer distinctions between these two grammatical concepts. Therefore, the objective of the paper is to investigate the contrasts in the morpho-semantic features of transitivity and causativity. The data for the study is adapted from the previously published works of Agbo & Yuka (2011) and Agbo (2014, 2015).

The paper is further motivated by the fact that causativity and transitivity are yet pertinent issues in Igbo grammar. While there is a paucity of literature on causativity, the literature on transitivity is hitherto contentious with a number of unresolved issues. Therefore, in trying to decipher the differences and similarities between the morpho-semantic features of causativity and transitivity, this paper would make clearer the understanding of the two concepts. This would, hopefully, also enhance the literature on causativity and transitivity in Igbo. An appraisal of published literature on causativity and transitivity is necessary for a clearer perspective on these issues in Igbo grammar. This is done in the next section of this paper.

¹ Igbo is an indigenous language of Nigeria and it is spoken by over twenty million people. It is the fifth most widely spoken language in Africa (Childs 2003: 24) and one of the three major languages in Nigeria. It is a tone language with two distinct tones High and Low and the phenomenon of downstep. Williamson & Blench (2000) classify Igbo as West Benue-Congo under the Niger-Congo language family.

1.1. Studies in Causativity and Transitivity in Igbo

As already pointed out, there is a negligible literature on causativity in Igbo. More so, a majority of the studies on the subject are not focused on causativity per se but reflect the intuitive acknowledgements of causativity in the language. For example, causativity as a grammatical feature of compound verbs is casually mentioned in the works of Lord (1975), Uwalaka (1988, 1995), and Mbah (1999). These studies have been motivated by the theoretical underpinnings of Transformational-Generative Grammar. Anyanwu (2005, 2007) also adopts the formalist approach of the Minimalist Programme for Linguistic Theory to discuss causativity in Igbo. Nevertheless, Anyanwu (2007) is a very detailed attempt on the study of causativity as it gives an expository analysis of the many types of syntactic derivations involved in causativity in Igbo. Agbo (2014, 2015) argue that the aforementioned studies do not represent the native speaker's intuitions about causativity because of the formalist approach to their analyses. Therefore, Agbo (2014, 2015) adopt a descriptive methodology to the study of causativity, in order to analyse data that is more intuitively amenable to the native speaker.

The studies on transitivity in Igbo have focused invariably 'on the rigid split of Igbo verbs into transitive and intransitive classes' (Agbo & Yuka 2011: 33). This concentration on the classification of transitive and intransitive verbs is overwhelmingly represented in such works as Emenanjo (1975, 1978, 2005, 2012), Ubahakwe (1976), Nwachukwu (1984), Uwalaka (1988), and Uchechukwu (2012). This line of investigation is motivated by the facts of Igbo, where the study of any class of the Igbo verb amounts to the study of the grammar of the language (Uwalaka 1988).

However, Agbo & Yuka (2011) point out that concentrating on the features of an isolated verb in the study of transitivity is misleading.

This assertion is supported by the analyses in other languages, where transitivity is a feature of an entire clause and not only the verb. In addition, the entire clause is ranked as ‘more or less’ transitive according to a scale of morpho-syntactic and semantic parameters (Hopper & Thompson 1980). Agbo & Yuka (2011) conclude that Igbo verbs occur in clauses that are ranked according to their transitivity features. This ranking shows that transitivity is a continuum with clauses at one end of the continuum having high transitivity and at the other end are clauses with low transitivity.

The studies of causativity and transitivity in Igbo inadvertently describe the event structures of the Igbo clause. Therefore, an analysis of these event structures would give more insights on the differences and similarities between causativity and transitivity. The rest of the paper is organised thus. In Section 1.1.1 the concept of event structure is discussed. The Igbo predicate structure is discussed in Section 1.1.2 while the event and predicate structure of the Igbo verb is discussed in Section 1.1.3. The conceptualisation of events in the Igbo predicate structure is the topic of Section 1.1.4. Section 2.0 discusses the event structure of causative verbs while Section 3.0 is the conclusion of the study.

1.1.1. Event Structure

The term event includes the conceptualisation of what a predicate represents. In other words an event can be defined by the predicate structure of the language. This structure may be a single lexical item, a verb and its affixes or complements, or a monomorphemic predicate (Jarkey 2010). According to Rappaport Hovav et al. (2010), amongst the fundamental functions of language is the division of the fluid nature of the happenings in the world into units, which speakers refer to as events. Rappaport Hovav et al. (2010) further postulate that this

6 Causativity and Transitivity in Igbo

segmentation of the happenings in the world facilitates the logical representation of sentences. This logical representation of sentences stimulated the development of event semantics. The framework of event semantics shows that verbs are usually predicates of events but other linguistic units like the arguments and the morpho-syntactic features of the verb are involved in the description of specific events, otherwise known as event structure.

Croft (1990) proffers the view of causativity as events. This view leads him to postulate three ways of conceptualising causativity. These include the *localist*, the *aspectual*, and the *causal* views of causativity. In the localist approach, causativity is viewed as one event causing another event. In the aspectual approach, the view is that individuals bring about events while in the causal approach individuals act on other individuals to bring about events.

Gisborne (2010) draws attention to the relevance of Croft (1990) to the transitivity alternations discussed in Levin (1993). In other words, Gisborne (2010: 76ff) implies that the transitivity alternations discussed in Levin (1993: 25ff) include the description of events. Hence, causativity and transitivity portray events as discussed in this section. The problem, as already stated, is to investigate the differences and similarities between the event structures of causativity and transitivity in Igbo. In order to facilitate this study, it is pertinent to discuss the Igbo predicate structure since events are represented by the predicate structure of a language.

1.1.2. Igbo Predicate Structure

A predicate is the part of a clause or sentence traditionally seen as representing what is said of or predicated of the subject (Matthews 1997: 291). Bowers (2001), adopting a formal semantics approach, views a predicate as ‘an unsaturated expression’ that must combine

with an entity in order to form a proposition. Based on these views of the predicate, the Igbo predicate structure includes the Igbo verb and its morpho-semantic structure. These morpho-semantic features are well-captured in Emenanjo (2005) classification of the Igbo verb. The general consensus is that a lexical representation of the verb includes the verb root and some form of morphological extensions. This has been formalised in the timeless definition of the Igbo verb as being ‘made up of three mutually obligatory and complementary elements.’ These obligatory elements comprise the verb itself, the complement and the bound cognate noun (Emenanjo 1975, 1978, 2005, 2012). In other words, the occurrence of the Igbo verb in any syntactic construction represents this assertion. The description of examples in (1)-(3) below, also mentioned in Agbo (2014: 9-10)², illustrate this fact.

- (1) Òbí m̀è-r̀è ñ̀m̀èmm̀é.
 Obi do-IND feast
 ‘Obi staged a feast.’

In (1) above, the verb *mé* ‘make/do’ obligatorily co-occurs with the nominal element *ñ̀m̀èmm̀é* ‘feast’. The claim here is that every Igbo verb must co-occur with a nominal element which serves as its complement. The idea of the bound cognate noun is illustrated in (2)

² The transcription follows standard Igbo orthography: à (low tone); á (high tone); and ā downstep. All tones are marked to avoid ambiguity due to lexical variance among the dialects. Igbo has phonological features of vowel harmony where the eight vowels in the language are neatly divided into two sets. One set comprises vowels produced with the Advanced Tongue Root (+ATR) while the other set comprises vowels with -ATR. In standard Igbo, -ATR vowels are represented with the sub-dot, e.g., [ɔ̣] while the +ATR vowels do not have the sub-dot. The abbreviations used here are: IND = indicative, DET = determiner, EMPH = emphasiser, 3s (subj) = third person singular for subjects, PROG = progressive, AGR = agreement marker.

8 Causativity and Transitivity in Igbo

below.

- (2) Òbí m̀è-r̀è M̀m̀èmm̀é émé.
Obi do-IND feast EMPH
‘Obi indeed held a feast.’ or
‘Obi indeed caused a feast to be held.’

Émé is a morphological derivation of the verb *mé* ‘do/make’ and it serves as an emphasiser morpheme. In the literature this is known as the Bound Cognate Noun or BCN. All Igbo verbs have the BCN, which always occurs bound to the verb and follows it in the construction as shown in (2) above and illustrated again in (3).

- (3) Ó m̀è-r̀è émé.
3s do-IND EMPH
‘It was made to happen indeed.’ or
‘It indeed happened.’

In examples (2) and (3) above the nominal element *m̀m̀èmm̀é* and the BCN *émé* are regarded as arguments and/or direct objects of the verb, respectively (Emenanjo 1978: 129). However, Agbo (2013), relying on cross-linguistic evidence, claims that the subject (external argument) of the verb is the participant in the clause that initiates the action represented by the verb, while the object (internal argument) is the participant that is completely affected by the action of the subject as it is represented by the verb. Therefore, while the nominal element *m̀m̀èmm̀é* is an argument because it is a participant that is completely affected by the action of the verb, the BCN *émé* is simply a morphological derivation of the verb *mé* and not its argument or direct object. The next section investigates Igbo verb classification in the light of Igbo predicate structure.

1.1.3. Event and Predicate Structure of the Igbo Verb

Emenanjo (2005) account of the five classes of the Igbo verb is based on the morpho-semantic structures of the verb. For example, the class of General Complement Verbs (GCV) include verb roots co-occurring with common nouns. Example (4) illustrates predicate structures with General Complement Verbs in Igbo.

- (4) a. *sí nrí* ‘cook’
 b. *zú éwú* ‘buy a goat’
 c. *zà ùlò* ‘sweep the house’

The sentences in which these predicate structures occur are illustrated in example (5).

- (5) a. *Àdá* *sì-rì* *Ñrí.*
 Ada *cook-IND* *food*
- b. *Ézè* *zù-rù* *éwú.*
 Eze *buy-IND* *goat*
- c. *Ódò* *zà-rà* *ùlò.*
 Odo *sweep-IND* *house*
 ‘Odo swept the house.’

In examples (5a-c) the predicate structure is represented by the verb, its suffix and the noun it co-occurs with. In (5a) the predicate structure includes *sìrì nrí*, where the verb root *sì* ‘cook’ and its extensional suffix *-rì* function to indicate the event in which the noun *nrí* ‘food’ participates in. The predicate is ‘saturated’ when it is combined with *Àdá* as another participant in the event depicted by

10 Causativity and Transitivity in Igbò

the verb. Therefore, this predicate structure specifies that the event has indeed taken place (the indicative morpheme *-ri* functions to show this). Following the description of (5a) examples (5b & c) can be viewed in the same light. However, note that the predicate structure of (5a-c) represent single events involving two participants, the agent and the patient in the constructions.

The second class of verbs in Emenanjo (2005) is the Inherent Complement Verbs (ICV). This class has verb roots that always occur with specified nouns. Unlike the verb roots of the General Complement Verbs, which can co-occur with any common noun, the Inherent Complement Verb must occur with a specified noun. The semantics of this noun may be associated with the semantics of the verb root or the noun may be a morphological derivative of the verb root. Examples of the predicate structures with Inherent Complement Verbs are illustrated in (6).

- (6) a. kwò nwá ‘carry a child on the back’
b. bíá òsò ‘come near’
c. fù ányà ‘see’

These predicate structures occur in the following sentences in example (7).

- (7) a. Àdá kwò nwá yá.
Ada carry-on-the-back child 3s
‘Ada is carrying her child on the back.’
- b. Òbí bià-rà nsó.
Obi come-IND near
‘Obi came nearer.’

- c. Ó fù-rù yá ányá.
 3s see-IND 3s (obj) eye
 ‘S/he saw him/her.’

In example (7a) the predicate *kwò nwá* consists of the verb root *kwò* and the specified noun *nwá*. These two morphemes must co-occur in any event describing someone carrying a child on the back. This is why the Inherent Complement Verb in Igbo is described as a ‘dual unit morpheme which must always be cited together for conceptual integrity’ (Agbo & Yuka 2011: 38). Note that there is an associative meaning between *kwò* and *nwá* in the sense that it is usually a child that is carried on the back in the culture of the Igbo. In example (7b) the verb root *bíá* ‘come’ and the nominal element *nsó* ‘nearness’ always co-occur in events describing someone coming closer to another person. In (7c) the predicate *fù ányá* ‘see’ consists of the verb root *fù* ‘see’ and a co-occurring noun *ányá* ‘eye’ which has a relationship with seeing. This clearly demonstrates that there is an associative meaning between the verb root and its complement, hence the term Inherent Complement Verbs.

The third class of verbs in Emenanjo (2005) is the Prepositional Complement Verbs (PPCVs). This class consist of verb roots which occur with the morpheme *nà* and a noun. The morpheme *nà* and its co-occurring noun are known as a prepositional complement in Igbo grammar. This same morpheme has been attributed with a number of other syntactic functions like auxiliary verbs, an aspect marker, a conjunction, and a complementiser. However, Agbo (forthcoming) has reanalysed the morpheme *nà* as a grounding element in the event structure of Igbo. Nevertheless, the established examples of Prepositional Complement Verbs are illustrated in (8) below.

12 Causativity and Transitivity in Igbò

- (8) a. *sà n'ónú* 'confess'
b. *nò n'ónòdù* 'stay in a situation'
c. *kwé nà chùkwú* 'believe in God'

Their representations in basic clauses are shown in (9a-c).

- (9) a. *Ó sà-rà n'ónú.*
3s spread-IND in mouth
'S/he confessed.'
- b. *Ó nò n'ónòdù ójó.*
3s be in situation badness
'S/he is in a bad situation.'
- c. *Ó kwè-rè nà chùkwú.*
3s agree-IND God
'S/he believes in God.'

It can be gleaned from (9a) that the predicate structure consists of the verb root *sà* 'spread' and the *nà + ónú* structure which serves to complement the verb. The meaning is derived from the context of the clause. The same analysis goes for (9b) where the predicate structure is made up of the verb root *nò* 'be' and the *nà + ónòdù* structure to derive the meaning of the predicate in the clause. It is also similar to example (9c) where the predicate structure is *kwé nà chùkwú* comprising the verb root *kwé* 'agree' and the *nà + chùkwú* structure. The meaning of the clause is in context.

The fourth class is the Bound Complement Verbs (BCV) which include verb roots occurring with their reduplicated structures. Example (10) below illustrate the predicate structure of BCVs.

- (10) a. sù òsù 'stammer'
 b. ríá ọ́rìà 'fall sick'
 c. kwúókwú 'talk'

The data in (10a-c) shows that the predicate structure of Bound Complement Verbs (BCV) consists of two morphemes; the verb root and a morphologically derived structure of the verb root. In (10a) the verb root *sù* 'speak' has its derived structure *òsù* 'stammer' as its complement. While in (10b) the verb root *ríá* 'fall ill' has its morphologically derived structure *ọ́rìà* 'sickness' as its complement. And (10c) has the verb root *kwú* 'say' with a derived construction *ókwú* 'talk' as its complement. Example (11) below illustrates sentences where these predicates occur.

- (11) a. Àdá sù-rù òsù.
 Ada speak-IND stammer
 'Ada stammered.'
- b. Ọ ríà-rà ọ́rìà.
 3s fall ill-IND sickness
 'S/he was sick.'
- c. Ó kwù-rù ókwú.
 3s say-IND talk
 'S/he has spoken.'

The fifth class in Emenanjo (2005) is the Ergative Complement Verb (ECV). These verbs consist of verb roots co-occurring with abstract nouns. Example (12) shows these verbs.

14 Causativity and Transitivity in Igbò

- (12) a. dà ibèribè 'be daft'
 b. pù árá 'be mad'
 c. kù ògwóró 'be lame'

The predicate structure of these verbs is unique because the nouns co-occurring with the verbs can take the structural position of the subject in a sentence. In other words, *ibèribè* 'daftness' in (12a) can take the subject position in a clause. So also can *ará* 'madness' and *ògwóró* 'lameness' in (12b & c) respectively. This is why Uwalaka (1988) has ascribed the term 'subject-object' switching verbs to this class of verbs. This feature is explained with (13) below.

- (13) a. Òbí dà-rà Ìbèribè.
 3s fall-IND daftness
 'Obi is daft.'
- b. Ìbèribè dà-rà Òbí.
 'Obi is daft.'
- c. Òbí pù-rù árá.
 Obi go out-IND madness
 'Obi is mad.'
- d. Árá pù-rù Òbí.
 'Obi is mad.'
- e. Òbí kù-rù ògwóró.
 Obi hit-IND lameness
 'Obi is lame.'
- f. Ògwóró kù-rù Òbí.
 'Obi is lame.'

Note that (13a & b) have the same meaning in spite of the change

in position of the structural subject of the clause. The same goes for (13c & d) and (13e & f).

The next section focuses on discussing the conceptualisation of events based on the predicate structure of the five verb classes.

1.1.4. The Conceptualisation of Events in the Igbo Predicate Structure

As stated in Section 1.1.1 predicate structures represent the conceptualisation of events in languages. Therefore, an analysis of the morpho-semantic features of the predicate structure of the five verb classes in Section 1.1.3 is akin to studying the conceptualisation of events in Igbo.

In the first place, the predicate structure of General Complement Verbs shows two morphemes with clearly distinct forms and meaning. For example, the morphemes *sí* and *nri* in (4a) are distinct in their form and meaning but when they combine to form a predicate they represent an event that can only result from an agent carrying out the action portrayed by the verb and this action must have a tangible effect on the patient. The participants in this event are distinct because the morphemes representing these participants are distinct in their form and meaning. Therefore, the role of each participant in the event can be clearly identified.

This is represented in (5a) where *Ádá* is the agent that effects the action represented by the verb on the patient *nri*. Therefore, the discreet processes represented by the verb *sí* ‘cook’ can be cognitively constructed for (5a). This is because of the distinctions between the participants in the event. However, these discreet processes sum up as one event in their conceptualisation because there is no cognitive boundary in their temporal sequences. The claim here is that General Complement Verbs in Igbo depict single

events which are conceptualised as involving distinct participants. Examples (5b & c) also represent such events.

The predicate structure of Inherent Complement Verbs in examples (6a-c) shows that there is a difference in form between the verb root and the co-occurring noun. However, there is a close knit relationship between the semantics of the verb root and the co-occurring noun. In other words, the predicate structure of Inherent Complement Verbs is cognitive and cultural constructs. This can be explained by the semantics of (6a) where the verb root *kwò* intuitively implies the carrying of a child on the back, which is a cultural practice among Igbo women. This verb root, indeed, specifically co-occurs with the morphemes *nwá* ‘child’ or *n’ázú* ‘on the back’. Therefore, the morphemes *kwò* and the co-occurring morphemes are entwined in their meaning. This in turn is represented in the conceptualisation of the event described in (7a), where the agent *Ádá* is the clearly distinct participant, with a distinct meaning in the event. The claim is that the predicate structure of Inherent Complement Verbs portrays events in which the meaning of the patient is derived from the meaning of the verb root and the action of the agent on the patient is not tangible. This is emphasised more in the cognitive constructs in (7b & c). In (7b) the verb *bíá* ‘come’ intuitively implies the meaning of its complement *ńsò* ‘near’. In example (7c) the verb *sì* ‘smelling’ has *ísì* ‘smell’ as a morphological derivative. Therefore, there is a relationship in both form and meaning. The events represented in (7a-c) have only the agents as the conceptually distinct participants in the event. However, these represent single conceptual structures.

The predicate structure of the class of Prepositional Complement Verbs consists of co-occurring morphemes that are distinct in both form and meaning. The verb roots have the complements as bound morphemes. These bound morphemes (unlike the complements of

classes we have discussed so far.

The fifth class of verbs is the Ergative Complement Verbs. As earlier stated these verbs are unique because there can be a change in the position of the structural subject with no concomitant change in meaning. An analysis of the predicate structure shows that there is no relationship between the form and meaning of the verb roots and the complements. However, unlike the Prepositional Complement Verbs, the complements are not bound morphemes with no independent meanings. Instead the complements are nouns in the language, as can be gleaned from (12a-c). The fact that these complements can switch positions with the structural subjects of the clause (13b, d & f) has led Agbo (2015) to propose that Ergative Complement Verbs are autonomous linguistic units with restricted use in the language. The use of these verbs must be specially learned by the users of the language and the events they represent are stative events which are causative events. Therefore, Agbo (2015) claims that this class of verbs are causative verbs. The events depicted by these verbs confirm these facts following the definition of causativity in Section 1.0. The clauses in (13) are causative constructions. This can be explained by (13a & b). In (13a) the verb root *dà* ‘fall’ co-occurring with the noun *ibèribè* ‘daftness’ forms one linguistic unit and the sentence translates that the subject *Òbí* has the attribute of daftness. However, in (13b) the subject switches positions with the co-occurring noun complement *ibèribè* and it becomes a causative construction because it now represents two sequential events. The first event is conceptualised as occurring when the noun *ibèribè* effects the action of making *Òbí* daft while the following event is the resultant state of *Obi*’s daftness. The examples in (13d & f) can be conceptualised in the same way.

Agbo & Yuka (2011) identify the transitivity features of each of these verb classes and attribute high transitivity to General

Complement Verbs but low transitivity to Ergative Complement Verbs. The transitivity features of the other classes form a continuum in between these two classes of verbs. The low transitivity features of Ergative Complement Verbs seem to be after the facts of its inherent causativity features.

The analyses of the event structure of the five verb classes in Emenanjo (2005) show that four of the classes (GCV, ICV, PPCV, BCV) have the predicate structure encoding single events. While the ECVs encode two sequential events when there is a change in the position of the structural subjects of this verb class. This assertion is confirmed in the next section of this paper, Section 2.0, where the predicate and event structures of causative verbs are analysed.

2. The Event Structure of Causative Verbs

Agbo (2015) identifies three categories of causative constructions in Igbo. They are the lexical causative construction, the morphological causative construction and the analytical causative constructions. This paper will focus on the predicate structure of lexical causatives because of its similarity to the predicate structures analysed in Section 1.1.4. The examination of the predicate structures of the following lexical causatives verbs in example (14) confirms this fact. The analysis of the predicate structures of morphological and analytical causative verbs is beyond the scope of this study.

Agbo (2015) presents the following data as lexical causatives.

20 Causativity and Transitivity in Igbò

- (14) a. Yí égwù ‘frighten’
 b. Yí àgbà ‘make an appointment’
 c. Yí ánū ‘transform into an animal’
 d. Hù mgbánù ‘cause anxiety for punctuality’
 e. Hù égbé ‘frighten a hawk to release its prey’
 f. Hò ákwúkwó nrī ‘rip edible leaves into tiny bits’
 g. Hò úkwà ‘peel off the carapace of breadfruit seeds’

Agbo (2015) illustrate the sentential occurrences of these verbs and this is represented in (15) below.

- (15) a. Ágwó àhú yì-rì yá égwù.
 snake DET cause-IND 3s fear
 ‘That snake frightened him/her.’
- b. Há yì-rì àgbà.
 3pl do-IND appointment
 ‘They have an appointment.’
- c. Ó yì-rì anú.
 3s do-IND animal
 ‘She/he transformed into an animal.’
- d. Ó nà-á-hù mgbánù.
 3s PROG-AGR-do anxiety
 ‘She/he is anxious about punctuality.’
- e. Ùmùáká nà-á-hù égbé.
 Children PROG-AGR-do Hawk
 ‘The children are frightening the hawk to release its prey.’

- f. Há hò-rò ákwúkwó nírí.
 3pl do-IND leaf food
 ‘They prepared some edible leaves for cooking by ripping them apart.’
- g. Há hò-rò úkwà.
 3pl do-IND breadfruit
 ‘They peeled off the carapace of the breadfruit seeds.’

The sentences in (15a-g) represent events that have causative readings. In (15a) the verb root *yí* ‘cause’ and its complement *égwù* form a predicate structure *yí égwù* which is an autonomous lexical unit in the language. The causative reading of the sentence is derived from the events represented by the predicate structure. There are two sub-events involved here. First, the agent *ágwó* frightens the patient *yá* ‘3s’ and subsequently the patient gets into a state of fright. Examples (15b & c) represent cultural events. For instance, the predicate *yí àgbà* in (15b) inherently encodes the facts of two sub-events. The first event would be an instance in the culture (for example, marriage rites) which would lead to making an appointment, resulting in the second event. In (15c) the predicate *yí áníú* represents a cultural event that can be conceptualised as two sub-events. The first event involves the agent being a human being while the second event is the change of form from human to animal. The translations of (15d-g) indicate the causative events of the predicates. These predicates all code two sub-events in their lexical representation. For example, in (15d) the causative verb root *hú* ‘cause’ forms a predicate structure with the *mgbánù* ‘anxiety’. The reading of this predicates structure in the clause shows the agent *O* ‘3s’ hitherto was not in a state of anxiety but has now fallen into an anxious state. This shows two sub events indicated by the predicate structure. In (15e),

the reading of the causative predicate structure *hù égbé* shows that the first sub event occurs when the hawk seizes its prey while the subsequent event is indicated by the agent *Úmùáká* frightening the hawk to release the prey. For the causative predicate structure *hò ákwúkwó ñrì* in (15f) the first sub event is when the vegetable leaves are fresh from the farm and yet untouched. While the second sub event occurs when the agent *Há* take up these leaves and prepare them for cooking. Example (15g) can be explained in the same light, where the first sub event involves the yet untouched breadfruit, while the second event includes the peeling off of the carapace of the breadfruit's seeds.

3. Conclusions

The concern of this study has been to show the contrasts between transitivity and causativity in Igbò. The study is inspired by the matching morpho-syntactic features between transitivity and causativity in Igbò. The study investigated the predicate structure of the Igbò verb in order to decode the event structure therein. The analysis of the predicate structure of the five Igbò verb classes show that four of the classes (GCV, ICV, PPCV, BCV) encode single event structures while a fifth class (ECV) encodes two sub-events, which is a characteristic feature of causative verbs. An analysis of the event structure of the class of lexical causative verbs shows a similarity between these verbs and the class of ECV verbs with causative readings. Therefore, the conclusion of this study is that the difference between transitivity and causativity in Igbò lies in the event structure of the verb. Transitive events encode single events while causative events encode two sequential events. The event structure can be

determined by the analysis of the predicate structure.

References

- Agbo, M. 2013. A Role and Reference Grammar Analysis of the Igbo Verb. Ph.D Dissertation. University of Ibadan.
- _____. 2014. Causativity in Igbo Personal Names. *Journal of Universal Language* 15.2, 1-33.
- _____. 2015. Causative Constructions in Igbo. In O. Olanike et al. (eds.), *Current Research in African Linguistics: Papers in Honour of Oladele Awobuluyi* 389-410. Newcastle Upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Agbo, M. & L. Yuka. 2011. Transitivity and Double Object Constructions in Igbo. *Journal of West African Languages* 38.2, 33-47.
- Anyanwu, O. 2005. Analytical Causatives in Igbo. In O. Ndimele (ed.), *Trends in the Study of Languages and Linguistics in Nigeria (A Festschrift for P.A. Nwachukwu)* 609-631. Port Harcourt: Grand Orbit Communication & Emhai Press.
- _____. 2007. *The Syntax of Igbo Causatives*. Port Harcourt: M & J Grand Orbit Communications.
- Bowers, J. 2001. Predication. In M. Baltin & C. Collins (eds.), *The Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory* 299-333. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Childs, T. 2003. *An Introduction to African Languages*. Amsterdam: John Benjamin Publishing.
- Comrie, B. 1985. *Tense*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Croft, W. 1990. *Typology and Universals*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Emenanjo, N. 1975. Aspects of the Igbo Verb. In F. Ogbalu & N. Emenanjo (eds.), *Igbo Language and Culture* 160-173. Ibadan: Oxford University Press.
- _____. 1978. *Elements of Modern Igbo Grammar*. Ibadan: Ibadan University Press.
- _____. 2005. Igbo Verbs: Transitivity or Complementation. In O. Ndimele (ed.), *Trends in the Study of Languages and Linguistics in Nigeria (A Festschrift for P.A. Nwachukwu)* 479-497. Port Harcourt: Grand Orbit Communication & Emhai Press.
- _____. 2012. *Inu Igbuzo: Igbuzo Proverbial Lore*. Port Harcourt: University of Port Harcourt Press.
- Fleck, D. 2002. Causation in Matses (Panoan, Amazonian Peru). In M. Shibathani (ed.), *The Grammar of Causation and Interpersonal Manipulation* 373-415. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Gisborne, N. 2010. *The Event Structure of Perception Verbs*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Halliday, M. 1968. Notes on Transitivity and Theme in English. *Journal of Linguistics* 4.2, 179-215.
- Hopper, P. & S. Thompson. 1980. Transitivity in Grammar and Discourse. *Language* 56, 231-339.
- Jarkey, N. 2010. Cotemporal Serial Verb Construction White Hmong. In A. Mengistu et al. (eds.), *Complex Predicates: Cross-Linguistic Perspectives on Event Structure* 110-134. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lakoff, G. & M. Johnson. 1980. *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Langacker, R. 1987. *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, Vol. 1: Theoretical Prerequisites*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Levin, B. 1993. *English Verb Classes and Alternations: A*

- Preliminary Investigation*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Lord, C. 1975. Igbo Verb Compounds and the Lexicon. *Studies in African Linguistics* 6.1, 23-48.
- Matthews, P. 1997. *The Concise Oxford Dictionary of Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Mbah, B. 1999. *Studies in Syntax: Igbo Phrase Structure*. Nsukka: Prize Publishers.
- Nwachukwu, P. 1984. Transitivity. In P. Nwachukwu (ed.), *Readings on the Igbo Verb (Studies in Igbo Linguistics Vol. 1)* 8-17. Nsukka: Igbo Language Association.
- Rappaport Hovav, M. et al. 2010. *Lexical Semantics, Syntax, and Event Structure*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ubahakwe, E. 1976. On the Question of Transitivity and Intransitivity in Igbo Verbs. *Idenigbo* 1.1, 45-56.
- Uchechukwu, C. (ed) 2012. *The Transitivity Problem of the Igbo Verb*. Umuze: Annyco Publishers.
- Uwalaka, M. 1988. *The Igbo Verb: A Semantico-Syntactic Analysis*. Wien: Bertrage Zur Afrikanistik Band 35.
- Williamson, K. & R. Blench. 2000. Niger-Congo. In B. Heine & D. Nurse (eds.), *African Languages: An Introduction* 11-42. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.